18 Orthogonality and related matters

18.1 Orthogonality

Recall that two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are said to be orthogonal if $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$. (This is the Greek version of "perpendicular".)

Example: The two vectors

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\-1\\0\end{array}\right) \text{ and } \left(\begin{array}{c}2\\2\\4\end{array}\right)$$

are orthogonal, since their dot product is (2)(1) + (2)(-1) + (4)(0) = 0.

DEFINITION: A set of non-zero vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is said to be **mutually orthogonal** if $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

The standard basis vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are mutually orthogonal.

The vector $\mathbf{0}$ is orthogonal to everything.

- DEFINITION: A unit vector is a vector of length 1. If its length is 1, then the square of its length is also 1. So **v** is a unit vector $\iff \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1$.
- DEFINITION: If **w** is an arbitrary nonzero vector, then a **unit vector in the direction of w** is obtained by multiplying **w** by $||\mathbf{w}||^{-1}$: $\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = (1/||\mathbf{w}||)\mathbf{w}$ is a unit vector in the direction of **w**. The caret mark over the vector will always be used to indicate a unit vector.

Examples: The standard basis vectors are all unit vectors. If

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{pmatrix},$$

then a unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{w} is

$$\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{1}{||\mathbf{w}||} \mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 2\\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

DEFINITION: The process of replacing a vector **w** by a unit vector in its direction is called **normalizing** the vector.

For an arbitrary nonzero vector in \mathbb{R}^3

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} x\\ y\\ z\end{array}\right),$$

the corresponding unit vector is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \left(\begin{array}{c} x\\ y\\ z \end{array}\right)$$

In physics and engineering courses, this particular vector is often denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$. For instance, the gravitational force on a particle of mass m sitting at $(x, y, z)^t$ due to a particle of mass M sitting at the origin is

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{-GMm}{r^2} \widehat{\mathbf{r}},$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$.

18.2 Orthonormal bases

Although we know that any set of n linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^n can be used as a basis, there is a particularly nice collection of bases that we can use in Euclidean space.

DEFINITION: A basis $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ of \mathbb{E}^n is said to be **orthonormal** if

- 1. $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = 0$, whenever $i \neq j$ the vectors are mutually orthogonal, and
- 2. $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = 1$ for all i and they are all unit vectors.

Examples: The standard basis is orthonormal. The basis

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1\\1 \end{array}\right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} 1\\-1 \end{array}\right) \right\}$$

is orthogonal, but not orthonormal. We can normalize these vectors to get the orthonormal basis

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \end{array}\right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \end{array}\right) \right\}$$

You may recall that it can be tedious to compute the coordinates of a vector \mathbf{w} in an arbitrary basis. One advantage of using an orthonormal basis is the following:

Theorem: Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{E}^n . Let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{E}^n$. Then

$$\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_2)\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_n)\mathbf{v}_n.$$

That is, the i^{th} coordinate of **w** in this basis is given by $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i$, the dot product of **w** with the i^{th} basis vector. Alternatively, the coordinate vector of **w** in this orthonormal basis is

$$\mathbf{w}_v = egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w} ullet \mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{w} ullet \mathbf{v}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{w} ullet \mathbf{v}_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof: Since we have a basis, we know there are unique numbers c_1, \ldots, c_n (the coordinates of **w** in this basis) such that

$$\mathbf{w} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{v}_n.$$

Take the dot product of both sides of this equation with \mathbf{v}_1 : using the linearity of the dot product, we get

$$\mathbf{v}_1 \bullet \mathbf{w} = c_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \bullet \mathbf{v}_1) + c_2(\mathbf{v}_1 \bullet \mathbf{v}_2) + \dots + c_n(\mathbf{v}_1 \bullet \mathbf{v}_n).$$

Since the basis is orthonormal, all the dot products vanish except for the first, and we have $(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}) = c_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) = c_1$. An identical argument holds for the general \mathbf{v}_i .

Example: Find the coordinates of the vector

$$\mathbf{w} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\\ -3 \end{array}\right)$$

in the basis

$$\{\mathbf{v}_1,\mathbf{v}_2\} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \end{array}\right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \end{array}\right) \right\}.$$

Solution: $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = 2/\sqrt{2} - 3/\sqrt{2} = -1/\sqrt{2}$, and $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = 2/\sqrt{2} + 3/\sqrt{2} = 5/\sqrt{2}$. So the coordinates of \mathbf{w} in this basis are

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{array}{c} -1\\5 \end{array} \right).$$

Exercises:

1. In \mathbb{E}^2 , let

$$\{\mathbf{e}_1(\theta), \mathbf{e}_2(\theta)\} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \cos\theta\\ \sin\theta \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sin\theta\\ \cos\theta \end{array}\right) \right\}$$

Show that $\{\mathbf{e}_1(\theta), \mathbf{e}_2(\theta)\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{E}^2 for any value of θ . What's the relation between $\{\mathbf{e}_1(\theta), \mathbf{e}_2(\theta)\}$ and $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}\} = \{\mathbf{e}_1(0), \mathbf{e}_2(0)\}$?

2. Let

$$\mathbf{v} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\\ -3 \end{array}\right).$$

Find the coordinates of **v** in the basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1(\theta), \mathbf{e}_2(\theta)\}$

- By using the theorem above.
- By writing $\mathbf{v} = c_1 \mathbf{e}_1(\theta) + c_2 \mathbf{e}_2(\theta)$ and solving for c_1, c_2 .
- By setting $E_{\theta} = (\mathbf{e}_1(\theta) | \mathbf{e}_2(\theta))$ and using the relation $\mathbf{v} = E_{\theta} \mathbf{v}_{\theta}$.